| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|---|--|---------------------------|
| | 10/777,016 | KARKOSCH ET AL. | |
| Notice of Allowability | Examiner | Art Unit | - |
| | Shelley Self | 3725 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 | (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this app or other appropriate communication GHTS. This application is subject to | plication. If not include will be mailed in due | ed course. THIS |
| 1. This communication is responsive to <u>1/3/06</u> . | | | |
| 2. X The allowed claim(s) is/are 1,2,4-17,19,21-27,29-33,35-47 | and 49-51. | | |
| 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONM THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subminsformal PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give 5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") must (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No./Mail Date (b) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in time. 6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT. | been received. been received in Application No cuments have been received in this is of this communication to file a reply a ENT of this application. itted. Note the attached EXAMINER as reason(s) why the oath or declara at be submitted. Son's Patent Drawing Review (PTO- as Amendment / Comment or in the Co as Ad(c)) should be written on the drawing the header according to 37 CFR 1.121(c) sit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL re | national stage applical complying with the recomplying attached. 948) attached office action of the recomplying in the front (not the recomply) in the submitted. It is not the submitted. It is not the recomply in the submitted. It is not the submitted. | quirements OTICE OF |
| Attachment(s) 1. ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☑ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 3/10/06 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material | 8. Examiner's Statements 9. Other DEF | (PTO-413), te ment/Comment | owance |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

The amendment filed on January 3, 2006 is sufficient to overcome the prior art reference.

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1, 2, 4-17, 19, 21-27, 29-33, 35-47 and 49-51 are allowable. The restriction requirement as set forth in the Office action mailed on March 9, 2005 has been reconsidered in view of the allowability of claims to the elected invention pursuant to MPEP § 821.04(a). The restriction requirement is hereby withdrawn as to any claim that requires all the limitations of an allowable claim. Accordingly previously withdrawn claims 12, 14, 17, 40-42 and 44 are hereby rejoined.

In view of the above noted withdrawal of the restriction requirement, applicant is advised that if any claim(s) presented in a continuation or divisional application includes all the limitations of a claim that is allowable in the present application, such claims may be subject to provisional statutory and/or nonstatutory double patenting rejections over the claims of the instant application. Once a restriction requirement is withdrawn, the provisions of 35 U.S.C. 121 are no longer applicable. See *In re Ziegler*, 443 F.2d 1211, 1215, 170 USPQ 129, 131-32 (CCPA 1971). See also MPEP § 804.01.

Specification

The amendment to the Disclosure filed January 3, 2006 has been approved by the Examiner. Accordingly the previous Specification and Drawing Objections are hereby withdrawn.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on March 10, 2006 has been considered by the Examiner and an initialed copy is herein attached.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 2, 4-17, 19, 21-27, 29-33, 35-47 and 49-51 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: The prior art of record does not disclose or fairly suggest a router attachment system wherein the *lock comprises* an actuator carried by the attachment housing, the actuator movable between a lock position and an unlock position in combination with the rest of the claimed limitations as set forth in claims 1, 15, 27 and 43.

The prior art of record, Hedrick discloses a router attachment system including a router, a mounting adapter, router attachment for mating to the mounting adapter and a means for locking the mounting adapter and router attachment to resist movement. Hedrick discloses the mounting adapter having radial peripheral first mounting surface that mates with an inner radial peripheral mounting surface of the router attachment for attachment of a first type of router attachment. Hedrick however does not disclose the means for locking to include an actuator that is *carried by the attachment housing and is moveable between a lock and unlock position*. Instead, Hedrick discloses a snug fit between the tabs (30), receptacles (36) and stops (31) that lock or secure the mounting adapter to the router attachment (col. 10, lines 28-31). Therefore, Hedrick neither anticipates nor renders obvious the claimed invention as set forth in claims 1, 15, 27 and 43.

Neither the prior art of record nor any combination thereof discloses the claimed invention as set forth in claims 1, 15, 27 and 43. Therefore, claims 1, 2, 4-17, 19, 21-27, 29-33, 35-47 and 49-51 are deemed allowable over the prior art of record..

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shelley Self whose telephone number is (571) 272-4524. The examiner can normally be reached Mon-Fri from 8:30am to 5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's Supervisor, Derris Banks can be reached at (571) 272-4419. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (571) 273-8300 for regular and After Final communications.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on accessing the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

March 29, 2006

DERRIS H. BANKS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700